

# Special Uniform Approximations of Continuous Vector-Valued Functions. Part I: Special Approximations in $C_X(T)$

Vlad Timofte

*Département de Mathématiques, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne,  
 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland  
 E-mail: vlad.timofte@epfl.ch*

*Communicated by E. Ward Cheney*

Received December 4, 2001; accepted August 19, 2002

In this paper, we give special uniform approximations of functions  $u$  from the spaces  $C_X(T)$  and  $C_\infty(T, X)$ , with elements  $\bar{u}$  of the tensor products  $C_\Gamma(T) \otimes X$ , respectively  $C_0(T, \Gamma) \otimes X$ , for a topological space  $T$  and a  $\Gamma$ -locally convex space  $X$ . We call an approximation special, if  $\bar{u}$  satisfies additional constraints, namely  $\text{supp } v \subset u^{-1}(X \setminus \{0\})$  and  $\bar{u}(T) \subset \text{co}(u(T))$  (resp.  $\subset \text{co}(u(T) \cup \{0\})$ ). In Section 3, we give three distinct applications, which are due exactly to these constraints: a density result with respect to the inductive limit topology, a Tietze–Dugundji’s type extension new theorem and a proof of Schauder–Tihonov’s fixed point theorem.

© 2002 Elsevier Science (USA)

*Key Words:* uniform approximation; tensor product; extension by continuity.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper,  $T$  is a topological space,  $X$  a locally convex space over the field  $\Gamma \in \{\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{C}\}$  and  $C_X(T)$  the linear space of all  $X$ -valued continuous functions on  $T$ . Consider the vector subspaces

$$C_b(T, X) := \{u \in C_X(T) \mid u(T) \text{ is bounded}\} \subset C_X(T),$$

$$C_{\text{tb}}(T, X) := \{u \in C_X(T) \mid u(T) \text{ is totally bounded}\} \subset C_b(T, X).$$

Recall that a subset  $A \subset X$  is said to be totally bounded iff for every  $W \in \mathcal{V}_X(0)$ , there exists a finite subset  $A_0 \subset X$ , such that  $A \subset A_0 + W$  (then, we can choose  $A_0 \subset A$ ). If  $T$  and  $X$  are both Hausdorff spaces, we also use the standard notations

$$C_\infty(T, X) := \{u \in C_X(T) \mid \forall W \in \mathcal{V}_X(0), u^{-1}(X \setminus \overset{\circ}{W}) \text{ is compact}\},$$

$$C_0(T, X) := \{u \in C_X(T) \mid \text{supp } u := \overline{u^{-1}(X \setminus \{0\})} \text{ is compact}\}.$$

It is obvious that  $C_0(T, X) \subset C_\infty(T, X) \subset C_{\text{tb}}(T, X) \subset C_b(T, X)$ . We have the natural inclusions

$$C_\Gamma(T) \otimes X \subset C_X(T), \quad C_0(T, \Gamma) \otimes X \subset C_0(T, X).$$

Various results concerning the uniform density of  $C_\Gamma(T) \otimes X$  in  $C_X(T)$  and Weierstrass–Stone’s type theorems are known (see [1, 4–8]). Therefore, we will restrict our attention to special uniform approximations and its applications.

## 2. SPECIAL APPROXIMATIONS IN $C_X(T)$

### 2.1. The vector space $(C_\Gamma(T) \otimes X)_{\text{loc}}$

It is easily seen that if  $E$  is a  $\Gamma$ -normed space and if  $u \in C_b(T, E)$  has the following uniform approximation property:

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists u_\varepsilon \in C_\Gamma(T) \otimes E, \text{ such that } \|u - u_\varepsilon\|_\infty < \varepsilon,$$

then  $u \in C_{\text{tb}}(T, E)$ . Therefore, to get  $\varepsilon$ -uniform approximations of  $u$  for arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have to accept  $u \in C_{\text{tb}}(T, E)$  (Theorem 1 will prove that this condition is also sufficient, even for special approximations) or to replace the vector subspace  $C_\Gamma(T) \otimes E$  of  $C_E(T)$  by a larger one. This is a reason for:

**DEFINITION 1.** Consider the “locally tensor product”

$$(C_\Gamma(T) \otimes X)_{\text{loc}} := \{u : T \rightarrow X \mid \forall t \in T, \exists V \in \mathcal{V}_T(t), \\ \exists v \in C_\Gamma(T) \otimes X, \text{ such that } u|_V = v|_V\}.$$

**PROPOSITION 1.** (1)  $(C_\Gamma(T) \otimes X)_{\text{loc}}$  is a  $\Gamma$ -vector space and

$$C_\Gamma(T) \otimes X \subset (C_\Gamma(T) \otimes X)_{\text{loc}} \subset C_X(T).$$

(2) If  $T$  is compact, then  $(C_\Gamma(T) \otimes X)_{\text{loc}} = C_\Gamma(T) \otimes X$ .

*Proof.* Statement (1) is evident. The proof of (2) is immediate, using a partition of unity (p.u.) on  $T$ . ■

*Remark 1.* If  $u \in (C_\Gamma(T) \otimes X)_{\text{loc}}$  and  $K$  is a compact subset of  $T$ , then  $u|_K \in C_\Gamma(K) \otimes X$ .

## 2.2. The uniform density of $(C_\Gamma(T) \otimes X)_{\text{loc}}$ in $C_X(T)$

**THEOREM 1.** *Consider  $u \in C_X(T)$ . If  $T$  or  $u(T)$  is paracompact or if  $u \in C_{\text{tb}}(T, X)$ , then for every  $W \in \mathcal{V}_X(0)$ , there exists an approximant  $u_W \in (C_\Gamma(T) \otimes X)_{\text{loc}}$ , such that:*

- (1)  $(u - u_W)(T) \subset W$ ,  $u_W(T) \subset \text{co}(u(T))$ ,  $\text{supp } u_W \subset u^{-1}(X \setminus \{0\})$ ,
- (2)  $u_W = \sum_{i \in I} \varphi_i(\cdot)x_i$ , with  $(x_i)_{i \in I} \subset u(T)$  and  $(\varphi_i)_{i \in I}$  p.u. on  $T$ . Moreover, if  $u \in C_{\text{tb}}(T, X)$ , then  $I$  can be chosen as a finite set and, consequently,  $u_W \in C_\Gamma(T) \otimes X$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $W \in \mathcal{V}_X(0)$ . We can certainly assume that  $W$  is open and convex. If  $u \in C_{\text{tb}}(T, X)$ , then  $\exists A_0 \subset u(T)$ , such that  $A_0$  is finite and  $u(T) \subset A_0 + 2^{-1}W$ . Set  $A := A_0$  if  $u \in C_{\text{tb}}(T, X)$ , and  $A := u(T)$ , otherwise. Thus,  $u(T) \subset A + W$ , and so  $T = \bigcup_{x \in A} u^{-1}(x + W)$ . There are three cases to consider:

(a) If  $T$  is paracompact, then  $\exists(\varphi_x)_{x \in A}$  p.u. on  $T$ , subordinated to the open covering  $(u^{-1}(x + W))_{x \in A}$  of  $T$ .

(b) If  $u(T)$  is paracompact, then  $\exists(\psi_x)_{x \in A}$  p.u. on  $u(T)$ , subordinated to the open covering  $((x + W) \cap u(T))_{x \in A}$  of  $u(T)$ . For  $x \in A$ , set  $\varphi_x := \psi_x \circ u$ . Hence,  $\text{supp } \varphi_x \subset u^{-1}(\text{supp } \psi_x) \subset u^{-1}(x + W) \forall x \in A$ .

(c) If  $u \in C_{\text{tb}}(T, X)$ , then  $A$  is finite and  $u(T) \subset A + 2^{-1}W$ . Define the map  $\omega : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,  $\omega(z) = 0 \vee [1 - 2p_W(z)]$ , where  $p_W$  means Minkowski's functional associated to  $W$ . Clearly,  $\omega$  is continuous and  $\text{supp } \omega \subset 2^{-1}\bar{W}$ . For every  $x \in A$ , define  $\omega_x : u(T) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,  $\omega_x(z) = \omega(z - x)$ . But  $\forall z \in u(T) \subset A + 2^{-1}W$ ,  $\exists x \in A$ , such that  $z \in x + 2^{-1}W$ , which gives  $\omega_x(z) = \omega(z - x) > 0$ . Since  $\sum_{y \in A} \omega_y > 0$  on  $u(T)$ , we can define the map  $\psi_x = (\sum_{y \in A} \omega_y)^{-1} \omega_x : u(T) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,  $\varphi_x := \psi_x \circ u \forall x \in A$ . Clearly,  $\text{supp } \psi_x \subset (x + 2^{-1}\bar{W}) \cap u(T) \subset (x + W) \cap u(T)$ ,  $\text{supp } \varphi_x \subset u^{-1}(\text{supp } \psi_x) \subset u^{-1}(x + W) \forall x \in A$ .

In all the above three cases,  $(\varphi_x)_{x \in A}$  p.u. on  $T$ , subordinated to the open covering  $(u^{-1}(x + W))_{x \in A}$  of  $T$ . Now set  $v := \sum_{x \in A} \varphi_x(\cdot)x \in (C_\Gamma(T) \otimes X)_{\text{loc}}$ . Obviously,  $v(T) \subset \text{co}(u(T))$ . We next show that  $(u - v)(T) \subset W$ . Fix  $t \in T$  and set  $A_t := \{x \in A \mid \varphi_x(t) \neq 0\}$ . Thus,  $A_t$  is finite,  $\sum_{x \in A_t} \varphi_x(t) = 1$  and  $\forall x \in A_t$ , we have  $t \in \text{supp } \varphi_x \subset u^{-1}(x + W)$ , and so  $(u - v)(t) = \sum_{x \in A_t} \varphi_x(t)(u(t) - x) \in \sum_{x \in A_t} \varphi_x(t)W = W$ . Therefore,  $(u - v)(T) \subset W$ . We need consider two cases:

(i) If  $0 \notin u(T)$ , then  $u^{-1}(X \setminus \{0\}) = T \supset \text{supp } v$ , and so  $u_W := v$  satisfies all required properties.

(ii) If  $0 \in u(T)$ , choose  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ . Clearly,  $\varepsilon p \bar{W} \subset \overset{\circ}{W} = W$ . Define the maps  $\psi : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,  $\psi(x) = (0 \vee \frac{p_W(x) - \varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon}) \wedge 1$ ,  $\varphi = \psi \circ u : T \rightarrow [0, 1]$  and  $u_W = \varphi v \in (C_\Gamma(T) \otimes X)_{\text{loc}}$ . For every  $t \in T$ , we have the equivalences:

$$\varphi(t) = 1 \Leftrightarrow p_W(u(t)) \geq 1 \Leftrightarrow u(t) \in X \setminus W \Leftrightarrow t \in T \setminus u^{-1}(W),$$

$$\varphi(t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow p_W(u(t)) \leq \varepsilon \Leftrightarrow u(t) \in \varepsilon \bar{W} \Leftrightarrow t \in u^{-1}(\varepsilon \bar{W}).$$

Since  $v(T) \subset \text{co}(u(T))$ ,  $0 \in u(T)$ ,  $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$  and  $u_W = \varphi v + (1 - \varphi)0$ , it follows that  $u_W(T) \subset \text{co}(u(T))$ ,  $\text{supp } u_W \subset \text{supp } \varphi = T \setminus u^{-1}(\varepsilon \bar{W}) \subset T \setminus u^{-1}(\varepsilon W) = u^{-1}(X \setminus \varepsilon W) \subset u^{-1}(X \setminus \{0\})$ . It remains to prove that  $(u - u_W)(T) \subset W$ . We have  $u - u_W = u - \varphi v = (1 - \varphi)u + \varphi(u - v)$ . If  $t \in T \setminus u^{-1}(W)$ , then  $(u - u_W)(t) = (u - v)(t) \in (u - v)(T) \subset W$ . If  $t \in u^{-1}(W)$ , then  $(u - u_W)(t) \in (1 - \varphi(t))W + \varphi(t)W = W$ . Therefore,  $(u - u_W)(T) \subset W$ . ■

**COROLLARY 1.** *If  $T$  is quasi-compact, then for all  $u \in C_X(T)$  and  $W \in \mathcal{V}_X(0)$ , there exists an approximant  $u_W \in C_\Gamma(T) \otimes X$ , such that*

$$(u - u_W)(T) \subset W, \quad u_W(T) \subset \text{co}(u(T)), \quad \text{supp } u_W \subset u^{-1}(X \setminus \{0\}).$$

**COROLLARY 2.**  $C_{\text{tb}}(T, \Gamma) \otimes X$  is uniformly dense in  $C_{\text{tb}}(T, X)$ .

### 2.3. The case of $C_0(T, \Gamma) \otimes X \subset C_\infty(T, X)$

**THEOREM 2.** *Assume that  $T$  and  $X$  are Hausdorff. If  $u \in C_\infty(T, X)$ , then for all  $W \in \mathcal{V}_X(0)$  and  $K$  a compact subset of  $T$ , there exists an approximant  $u_{W,K} \in C_0(T, \Gamma) \otimes X$ , such that:*

(1)  $(u - u_{W,K})(T) \subset W$ ,  $u_{W,K}(T) \subset \text{co}(u(T) \cup \{0\})$ ,  $u_{W,K}(K) \subset \text{co}(u(T))$ ,  $\text{supp } u_{W,K} \subset u^{-1}(X \setminus \{0\})$ ,

(2)  $u_{W,K} = \varphi \cdot \sum_{i \in I} \varphi_i(\cdot)x_i$ , with  $I$  finite,  $(x_i)_{i \in I} \subset u(T)$ ,  $(\varphi_i)_{i \in I}$  p.u. on  $T$  and  $\varphi : T \rightarrow [0, 1]$  a continuous map, such that  $\varphi|_K \equiv 1$ .

*Proof.* We can assume that  $u \neq 0$ , that is  $\exists t_0 \in T$ , with  $u(t_0) \neq 0$ . Now fix  $W \in \mathcal{V}_X(0)$ ,  $W$  convex and  $K \subset T$ ,  $K$  compact. Set  $M := K$  if  $0 \notin u(K)$ , and  $M := \{t_0\}$  if  $0 \in u(K)$ . Since  $u \in C_\infty(T, X) \subset C_{\text{tb}}(T, X)$ , by Theorem 1,  $\exists v = \sum_{i \in I} \varphi_i(\cdot)x_i \in C_\Gamma(T) \otimes X$ , such that  $(u - v)(T) \subset W$ ,  $v(T) \subset \text{co}(u(T))$ ,  $\text{supp } v \subset u^{-1}(X \setminus \{0\})$ , with  $I$  finite,  $(x_i)_{i \in I} \subset u(T)$  and  $(\varphi_i)_{i \in I}$  p.u. on  $T$ . Since  $0 \notin u(M)$  and  $u(M)$  is compact,  $\exists W_0 \in \mathcal{V}_X(0)$ , such that  $W_0 \subset W$ ,  $W_0$  open and convex and  $u(M) \cap W_0 = \emptyset$ , that is  $M \subset u^{-1}(X \setminus W_0)$ . Now define  $\psi : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,  $\psi(x) = [0 \vee (2p_{W_0}(x) - 1)] \wedge 1$ ,  $\varphi = \psi \circ u : T \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,  $w := \varphi v \in C_\Gamma(T) \otimes X$ . For every  $t \in T$ , we have the following equivalences:

$$\varphi(t) = 1 \Leftrightarrow 2p_{W_0}(u(t)) \geq 2 \Leftrightarrow u(t) \notin W_0 \Leftrightarrow t \in u^{-1}(X \setminus W_0),$$

$$\varphi(t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow 2p_{W_0}(u(t)) \leq 1 \Leftrightarrow 2u(t) \in \bar{W}_0 \Leftrightarrow t \in u^{-1}(2^{-1}\bar{W}_0).$$

Clearly,  $w(T) \subset [0, 1] \cdot v(T) \subset \text{co}(u(T) \cup \{0\})$ . Since  $M \subset u^{-1}(X \setminus W_0)$ , we have  $\varphi|_M \equiv 1$ , and so  $w(M) = v(M) \subset v(T) \subset \text{co}(u(T))$  and  $\text{supp } \varphi = \overline{u^{-1}(X \setminus 2^{-1}\bar{W}_0)} \subset u^{-1}(X \setminus 2^{-1}W_0)$  compact. Hence,  $w \in C_0(T, \Gamma) \otimes X$ ,  $\text{supp } w \subset \text{supp } \varphi \subset u^{-1}(X \setminus \{0\})$ . We next show that  $(u - w)(T) \subset W$ . If

$t \in u^{-1}(X \setminus W_0)$ , then  $(u - w)(t) = (u - v)(t) \in W$  and if  $t \in u^{-1}(W_0)$ , then  $(u - w)(t) = (1 - \varphi(t))u(t) + \varphi(t)(u - v)(t) \in (1 - \varphi(t))W_0 + \varphi(t)W \subset W$ . Hence,  $(u - w)(T) \subset W$ . If  $M \neq K$ , then  $0 \in u(K)$ , and so  $w(K) \subset w(T) \subset \text{co}(u(T) \cup \{0\}) = \text{co}(u(T))$  and  $w = 1 \cdot (\sum_{i \in I} (\varphi \varphi_i)x_i + (1 - \varphi) \cdot 0)$ . We conclude that  $u_{W,K} := w$  satisfies all required properties. ■

### 3. APPLICATIONS OF SPECIAL APPROXIMATIONS

*3.1. The density of  $C_0(T, \Gamma) \otimes X$  in  $C_0(T, X)$  with respect to the inductive limit topology*

The following theorem is due to the constraint on the approximant's support.

**THEOREM 3.** *Assume that  $T$  and  $X$  are Hausdorff. If  $u \in C_0(T, X)$ , then for every  $V \in \mathcal{V}_{C_0(T, X)}(0)$  with respect to the inductive limit topology, there exists an approximant  $u_v \in C_0(T, \Gamma) \otimes X$ , such that:*

- (1)  $u - u_v \in V$ ,  $u_v(T) \subset \text{co}(u(T))$ ,  $\text{supp } u_v \subset u^{-1}(X \setminus \{0\})$ ,
- (2)  $u_v = \sum_{i \in I} \varphi_i(\cdot)x_i$ , with  $I$  finite,  $(x_i)_{i \in I} \subset u(T)$  and  $(\varphi_i)_{i \in I}$  p.u. on  $T$ .

*Proof.* We can certainly assume that  $0 \in u(T)$ , since otherwise  $T$  is compact and the conclusion is given by Theorem 1. Fix  $V \in \mathcal{V}_{C_0(T, X)}(0)$  and set  $K := \text{supp } u$ ,  $C_0(T, X)_K := \{w \in C_0(T, X) \mid \text{supp } w \subset K\}$ . Since  $V \cap C_0(T, X)_K$  is a neighborhood of the origin in  $C_0(T, X)_K$  with respect to the uniform convergence topology,  $\exists W \in \mathcal{V}_X(0)$ , such that  $\{w \in C_0(T, X)_K \mid w(T) \subset W\} \subset V \cap C_0(T, X)_K$ . Now Theorem 2 shows that  $\exists v = \varphi \cdot \sum_{i \in I} \varphi_i(\cdot)x_i \in C_0(T, \Gamma) \otimes X$ , with  $I$  finite,  $(x_i)_{i \in I} \subset u(T)$ ,  $(\varphi_i)_{i \in I}$  p.u. on  $T$ ,  $\varphi : T \rightarrow [0, 1]$  continuous,  $\varphi|_K \equiv 1$ , and such that  $(u - v)(T) \subset W$ ,  $v(T) \subset \text{co}(u(T))$ ,  $\text{supp } v \subset u^{-1}(X \setminus \{0\}) \subset K$ . We thus get  $u - v \in C_0(T, X)_K$ ,  $(u - v)(T) \subset W$ , and so  $u - v \in V$ . Since  $v = \sum_{i \in I} (\varphi \varphi_i)x_i + (1 - \varphi) \cdot 0$ ,  $u_v := v$  satisfies all required properties. ■

**COROLLARY 3.** *If  $T, X$  are Hausdorff, then  $C_0(T, \Gamma) \otimes X$  is dense in  $C_0(T, X)$  with respect to the inductive limit topology. Moreover, if  $X$  is metrizable, then this density is sequential.*

*Proof.* The proof is immediate, with Theorem 3. ■

*3.2. A Tietze–Dugundji's type extension theorem*

In this subsection,  $T$  denotes a topological space and  $X$  a  $\Gamma$ -locally convex Hausdorff space. The following two lemmas emphasize the existing connection between approximation and extension theorems.

LEMMA 1. Assume that  $X$  is also a Fréchet space. Then, for every subset  $F \subset T$ , the following two statements are equivalent:

(1) For all  $u \in C_X(F)$  and  $W \in \mathcal{V}_X(0)$ , there exists  $u_W \in C_X(T)$ , such that  $(u - u_W)(F) \subset W$ .

(2) For every  $u \in C_X(F)$ , there exists  $\tilde{u} \in C_X(T)$ , such that  $\tilde{u}|_F = u$  and  $\tilde{u}(T) \subset \text{co}(\overline{u(F)})$ .

*Proof.* It is to prove (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Let us first show that  $\forall u \in C_X(F)$ ,  $\forall W \in \mathcal{V}_X(0), \exists v \in C_X(T)$ , with  $(u - v)(F) \subset W, v(T) \subset \text{co}(u(F)) + W$ . Fix  $u \in C_X(F), W \in \mathcal{V}_X(0)$  and choose  $W_0 \in \mathcal{V}_X(0), W_0$  balanced, convex, such that  $2W_0 \subset W$ . According to our hypothesis,  $\exists w \in C_X(T)$ , with  $(u - w)(F) \subset W_0$ . It follows that  $\overline{w(F)} \subset w(F) + W_0 \subset \text{co}(u(F)) + 2W_0$ , and so  $\text{co}(\overline{w(F)}) \subset \text{co}(u(F)) + 2W_0 \subset \text{co}(u(F)) + W$ . Now define the map  $f : \overline{w(F)} \rightarrow X, f(x) = x$ . By Dugundji's theorem,  $\exists \tilde{f} \in C_X(X)$ , such that  $\tilde{f}|_{\overline{w(F)}} = f$  and  $\tilde{f}(X) \subset \text{co}(\overline{w(F)})$ . Set  $v := \tilde{f} \circ w \in C_X(T)$ . It follows that  $v|_F = \tilde{f} \circ w|_F = w|_F, (u - v)(F) = (u - w)(F) \subset W_0 \subset W, v(T) \subset \tilde{f}(X) \subset \text{co}(\overline{w(F)}) \subset \text{co}(u(F)) + W$ . To finally prove (2), fix again  $u \in C_X(F)$ . Since  $X$  is metrizable, we can choose  $(W_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  a fundamental system of convex neighborhoods of the origin in  $X$ , with  $2W_{n+1} \subset W_n \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For  $u_0 := u \in C_X(F), \exists v_0 \in C_X(T)$ , such that  $(u_0 - v_0)(F) \subset W_0$  and  $v_0(T) \subset \text{co}(u_0(F)) + W_0$ . Set  $u_1 := u_0 - v_0|_F \in C_X(F)$ . Thus, we can inductively define  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C_X(F), (v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C_X(T)$ , such that  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have:  $(u_n - v_n)(F) \subset W_n, v_n(T) \subset \text{co}(u_n(F)) + W_n, u_{n+1} := u_n - v_n|_F$ . Hence,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, u_{n+1}(F) = (u_n - v_n)(F) \subset W_n, v_{n+2}(T) \subset \text{co}(u_{n+2}(F)) + W_{n+2} \subset W_{n+1} + W_{n+2} \subset 2W_{n+1} \subset W_n$ . Therefore,  $u_n \xrightarrow{u} 0$  on  $F$  and  $\sum_{n \geq 0} v_n$  is uniformly convergent on  $T$ . Set  $v := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v_n \in C_X(T)$ . But  $\forall t \in F$ , we have  $v(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^n v_j(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^n (u_j(t) - u_{j+1}(t)) = u(t)$ , and so  $v|_F = u$ . As before,  $\exists \tilde{g} \in C_X(X)$ , with  $\tilde{g}(x) = x \forall x \in u(F)$  and  $\tilde{g}(X) \subset \text{co}(\overline{u(F)})$ . For  $\tilde{u} := \tilde{g} \circ v \in C_X(T)$ , we clearly have  $\tilde{u}|_F = u$  and  $\tilde{u}(T) \subset \text{co}(\overline{u(F)})$ . ■

LEMMA 2. Assume that  $X$  is also a Fréchet space. Then, for every subset  $F \subset T$ , the following two statements are equivalent:

(1) For all  $u \in C_{\text{tb}}(F, X)$  and  $W \in \mathcal{V}_X(0)$ , there exists  $u_W \in C_{\text{tb}}(T, X)$ , such that  $(u - u_W)(F) \subset W$ .

(2) For every  $u \in C_{\text{tb}}(F, X)$ , there exists  $\tilde{u} \in C_{\text{tb}}(T, X)$ , such that  $\tilde{u}|_F = u$  and  $\tilde{u}(T) \subset \text{co}(\overline{u(F)})$ .

*Proof.* It is to show (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). The proof is similar to that of Lemma 1, observing first that  $\forall u \in C_{\text{tb}}(F, X), \forall W \in \mathcal{V}_X(0), \exists v \in C_{\text{tb}}(T, X)$ , with  $(u - v)(F) \subset W, v(T) \subset \text{co}(u(F)) + W$ . Consequently,  $u - v \in C_{\text{tb}}(F, X)$ . Thus, the same construction as in the previous proof finally leads to the maps  $v := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v_n \in C_{\text{tb}}(T, X)$  and  $\tilde{u} := \tilde{g} \circ v \in C_{\text{tb}}(T, X)$ . ■

The following lemma is a variant of Theorem 1.

**LEMMA 3.** *Assume that  $T$  is normal. Consider a closed subset  $F \subset T$  and  $u \in C_X(F)$ . If  $T$  is paracompact or  $u \in C_{\text{tb}}(F, X)$ , then for every  $W \in \mathcal{V}_X(0)$ , there is a  $u_W \in (C_\Gamma(T) \otimes X)_{\text{loc}}$ , with  $(u - u_W)(F) \subset W$  and  $u_W(T) \subset \text{co}(u(F))$ . Moreover, if  $u \in C_{\text{tb}}(F, X)$ , then we can find  $u_W \in C_\Gamma(T) \otimes X$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $W \in \mathcal{V}_X(0)$ , with  $W$  open and convex. If  $u \in C_{\text{tb}}(F, X)$ , then  $\exists A_0 \subset u(F)$ , with  $A_0$  finite and  $u(F) \subset A_0 + W$ . Set  $A := A_0$  if  $u \in C_{\text{tb}}(F, X)$ , and  $A := u(F)$ , otherwise. Therefore,  $u(F) \subset A + W$ ,  $F = u^{-1}(A + W) = \bigcup_{x \in A} u^{-1}(x + W)$ . For every  $x \in A$ ,  $u^{-1}(x + W)$  is open in  $F$ , and so  $\exists U_x$  open in  $T$ , with  $u^{-1}(x + W) = U_x \cap F$ . Thus,  $T = (T \setminus F) \cup \bigcup_{x \in A} U_x = \bigcup_{x \in B} U_x$ , where  $B := A \cup \{A\}$ ,  $U_A := T \setminus F$ . If  $u \in C_{\text{tb}}(F, X)$ , then  $(U_x)_{x \in B}$  is a finite open covering of  $T$ , which is a normal space. If  $u \notin C_{\text{tb}}(F, X)$ , then  $(U_x)_{x \in B}$  is an open covering of the paracompact space  $T$ . In both cases,  $\exists (\varphi_x)_{x \in B}$  p.u. on  $T$ , subordinated to  $(U_x)_{x \in B}$ . Hence,  $\varphi_A = 1 - \sum_{x \in A} \varphi_x$ ,  $\text{supp } \varphi_A \subset T \setminus F$ . Now choose  $z \in u(F)$  and consider  $u_W := \sum_{x \in A} \varphi_x(\cdot)x + \varphi_A(\cdot)z \in (C_\Gamma(T) \otimes X)_{\text{loc}}$ . Obviously,  $u_W(T) \subset \text{co}(u(F))$ . We next show that  $(u - u_W)(F) \subset W$ . Fix  $t \in F$  and set  $J := \{x \in A \mid \varphi_x(t) \neq 0\}$ . Thus,  $\sum_{x \in J} \varphi_x(t) = 1$ ,  $(u - u_W)(t) = \sum_{x \in J} \varphi_x(t) \times (u(t) - x)$ . But  $\forall x \in J$  we have  $\varphi_x(t) \neq 0$ , and so  $t \in \text{supp } \varphi_x \subset U_x$ ,  $u(t) \in x + W$ . We thus get  $(u - u_W)(t) \in \sum_{x \in J} \varphi_x(t)W = W$ . Hence,  $u_W$  satisfies all required properties. ■

**THEOREM 4.** *Assume that  $T$  is normal and  $X$  is Fréchet. Consider a closed subset  $F \subset T$  and  $u \in C_X(F)$ . If  $T$  is paracompact or  $u \in C_{\text{tb}}(F, X)$ , then there exists  $\tilde{u} \in C_X(T)$ , such that  $\tilde{u}|_F = u$  and  $\tilde{u}(T) \subset \text{co}(u(F))$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 3,  $F$  satisfies condition (1) of Lemma 1 or 2. In both cases,  $\exists \tilde{u} \in C_X(T)$ , such that  $\tilde{u}|_F = u$  and  $\tilde{u}(T) \subset \text{co}(u(F))$ . ■

The above theorem is a strengthening of Theorem 3.6 [6], since  $u$  need not be a compact map (if  $T$  is paracompact) and  $X$  need not be a Banach space. For a metrizable space  $T$ , we recover Dugundji's extension theorem (see [2, 3]). The following corollary is known (see [6, Corollary 3.5, p. 54]).

**COROLLARY 4.** *Assume that  $T$  is completely regular and that  $X$  is metrizable. Consider a compact subset  $F \subset T$  and  $u \in C_X(F)$ . Then, there exists  $\tilde{u} \in C_X(T)$ , such that  $\tilde{u}|_F = u$  and  $\tilde{u}(T) \subset \text{co}(u(F))$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $T$  is completely regular,  $\exists \tilde{T}$  a compact topological space, such that  $T$  is a dense subspace of  $\tilde{T}$ . Let  $\tilde{X}$  denote the completion of  $X$ . Since  $u \in C_{\tilde{X}}(F)$  and  $F$  is compact in  $\tilde{T}$ , Theorem 4 shows that  $\exists v \in C_{\tilde{X}}(\tilde{T})$ ,

such that  $v|_F = u$ ,  $v(\tilde{T}) \subset \overline{\text{co}(u(F))} = \text{co}(u(F)) \subset X$ . We thus get  $\tilde{u} := v|_T \in C_X(T)$ ,  $\tilde{u}|_F = u$ ,  $\tilde{u}(T) \subset \text{co}(u(F))$ . ■

**COROLLARY 5.** *Assume that  $T$  is  $\sigma$ -compact and that  $X$  is metrizable. Consider a closed subset  $F \subset T$  and  $u \in C_X(F)$ . Then, there exists  $\tilde{u} \in C_X(T)$ , such that  $\tilde{u}|_F = u$  and  $\tilde{u}(T) \subset \text{co}(u(F))$ .*

*Proof.* By hypothesis,  $\exists (K_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  a family of compact subsets of  $T$ , such that  $T = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n$  and  $K_n \subset K_{n+1} \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Set  $F_n := F \cap K_n \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $F_0$  is compact,  $K_0$  is normal and  $u|_{F_0} \in C_X(F_0)$ , by Corollary 4,  $\exists u_0 \in C_X(K_0)$ , with  $u_0|_{F_0} = u|_{F_0}$ ,  $u_0(K_0) \subset \text{co}(u(F_0))$ . For fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , assume that  $\exists u_n \in C_X(K_n)$ , such that  $u_n|_{F_n} = u|_{F_n}$ ,  $u_n(K_n) \subset \text{co}(u(F_n))$ . Define  $v \in C_X(K_n \cup F_{n+1})$  by  $v|_{K_n} = u_n$ ,  $v|_{F_{n+1}} = u|_{F_{n+1}}$ . By Corollary 4, it follows that  $\exists u_{n+1} \in C_X(K_{n+1})$ , such that  $u_{n+1}|_{(K_n \cup F_{n+1})} = v$  and  $u_{n+1}(K_{n+1}) \subset \text{co}(v(K_n \cup F_{n+1}))$ . This easily gives  $u_{n+1}|_{F_{n+1}} = u|_{F_{n+1}}$ ,  $u_{n+1}(K_{n+1}) \subset \text{co}(u_n(K_n) \cup u(F_{n+1})) = \text{co}(u(F_{n+1}))$  and  $u_{n+1}|_{K_n} = u_n$ . Therefore, we can inductively define  $u_n \in C_X(K_n)$ , such that  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $u_{n+1}|_{K_n} = u_n$ ,  $u_n|_{F_n} = u|_{F_n}$  and  $u_n(K_n) \subset \text{co}(u(F_n))$ . It follows that  $\exists \tilde{u} \in C_X(T)$ , defined by  $\tilde{u}|_{K_n} = u_n \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Obviously,  $\tilde{u}|_F = u$  and  $\tilde{u}(T) \subset \text{co}(u(F))$ . ■

### 3.3. A proof of Schauder–Tihonov’s fixed point theorem

**LEMMA 4.** *Let  $T$  be a topological space,  $Y$  a  $\Gamma$ -topological vector space and  $(u_\delta)_{\delta \in \Delta} \subset C_Y(T)$ ,  $(t_\delta)_{\delta \in \Delta} \subset T$  nets, such that  $t_\delta \rightarrow t \in T$  and  $u_\delta \xrightarrow{u} u$ ,  $u : T \rightarrow Y$ . Then,  $u_\delta(t_\delta) \rightarrow u(t)$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is standard. ■

The following proof is due to the constraint on the approximant’s range.

**THEOREM 5 (Schauder–Tihonov).** *Let  $X$  be a  $\Gamma$ -locally convex Hausdorff space,  $M$  a closed convex subset of  $X$  and  $U : M \rightarrow M$  a completely continuous operator. Then,  $U$  has a fixed point.*

*Proof.* Since  $U$  is completely continuous,  $K := \overline{U(M)}$  is compact in  $X$ . Clearly,  $K \subset M$ . On  $\mathcal{W} := \{W \in \mathcal{V}_X(0) \mid W \text{ is balanced}\}$ , we consider the usual order relation, given by:  $W_1 \preceq W_2 \Leftrightarrow W_1 \supset W_2$ . Now fix  $W \in \mathcal{W}$ . Since  $U \in C_{\text{tb}}(M, X)$ , by Theorem 1,  $\exists U_W = \sum_{i \in I} \varphi_i(\cdot)x_i \in C_\Gamma(M) \otimes X$ , such that  $(U - U_W)(M) \subset W$ ,  $(x_i)_{i \in I} \subset U(M)$ ,  $(\varphi_i)_{i \in I}$  p.u. on  $M$  for some finite set  $I$ . Set  $K_W := \text{co}(\{x_i \mid i \in I\}) \subset \text{co}(U(M)) \subset M$ ,  $X_W := \text{Sp}(K_W)$ . But  $X_W$  is clearly normable since it is Hausdorff and has finite dimension,  $K_W$  is convex and compact and  $U_W(K_W) \subset U_W(M) \subset K_W$ . Now Brouwer’s fixed point theorem shows that  $\exists x_W \in K_W$ , such that  $U_W(x_W) = x_W$ . This



leads to  $x_W = U_W(x_W) \in U_W(M) \subset U(M) + W \subset K + W$ , and so  $\exists y_W \in K$ , such that  $x_W - y_W \in W$ . We thus get  $(U_W)_{W \in \mathcal{W}} \subset C_X(M)$ ,  $(x_W)_{W \in \mathcal{W}} \subset M$  and  $(y_W)_{W \in \mathcal{W}} \subset K$ , nets with the above properties. But  $(U - U_W)(M) \subset W$  and  $x_W - y_W \in W \forall W \in \mathcal{W}$ , lead to  $U_W \xrightarrow{u} U$  and  $x_W - y_W \rightarrow 0$ . As  $K$  is compact,  $(y_W)_{W \in \mathcal{W}} \subset K$  has a subnet  $(y_{\varphi(\delta)})_{\delta \in \Delta}$ , convergent to an element  $\xi \in K$ . Therefore,  $U_{\varphi(\delta)} \xrightarrow{u} U$  and  $x_{\varphi(\delta)} \rightarrow \xi$ . Now Lemma 4 gives  $x_{\varphi(\delta)} = U_{\varphi(\delta)}(x_{\varphi(\delta)}) \rightarrow U(\xi)$ , and so  $U(\xi) = \xi$ . ■

## REFERENCES

1. M. Chao-Lin, Sur l'approximation uniforme des fonctions continues, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **301** (1985), 349–350.
2. J. Dugundji, An extension of Tietze's theorem, *Pacific J. Math.* **1** (1951), 353–367.
3. J. Dugundji, "Topology" pp. 188–189, Allyn and Bacon Inc., Boston, 1970.
4. S. Machado, On Bishop's generalization of the Weierstrass–Stone theorem, *Indag. Math.* **39** (1977), 218–224.
5. J.B. Prolla, "Approximation of Vector Valued Functions," North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam/New York/Oxford, 1977.
6. J.B. Prolla, Uniform approximation: the non-locally convex case, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo(2)* **42** (1993), 93–105.
7. J.B. Prolla, On the Weierstrass–Stone theorem, *J. Approx. Theory* **78** (1994), 299–313.
8. A.H. Shuchat, Approximation of vector-valued continuous functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **31** (1972), 97–103.